

From: Dietrich Schmitt <dschmitt@nwifc.org>
Sent time: 04/18/2016 11:45:17 AM
To: Chang, Lisa
Subject: RE: strategies360 website development costs

Hi Lisa,
They are additive as there were two stages of development.
Dietrich

From: Chang, Lisa [mailto:Chang.Lisa@epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, April 18, 2016 11:42 AM
To: Dietrich Schmitt <dschmitt@nwifc.org>
Subject: RE: strategies360 website development costs

Hello Dietrich,

Just checking back in on whether you had any additional insight into the question below – whether the website development costs were additive or whether we should just be using the second set. My understanding was that you were waiting to hear back from the subawardee on this question. This was part of the original question that came to us from a member of Congress who requested an expedited response so any information you can share quickly would be helpful. Many thanks,
Lisa

From: Chang, Lisa
Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2016 3:13 PM
To: 'Dietrich Schmitt' <dschmitt@nwifc.org>
Subject: RE: strategies360 website development costs

Thank you very much, Dietrich. I will send this along. One question – what does “original” vs. “new” costs mean? Should the 2 be added together for the total cost of the website development? Or is “original” what was in the approved subaward workplan, and the “new” what they actually ended up paying?
Thank you for any clarification you can provide!

From: Dietrich Schmitt [<mailto:dschmitt@nwifc.org>]
Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2016 3:07 PM
To: Chang, Lisa <Chang.Lisa@epa.gov>
Subject: strategies360 website development costs
FYI